



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Richard HATCH et al.

Application No.: 10/023,700

Filing Date: December 21, 2001

Title: ELECTRONIC DEVICE

Examiner: Raymond S. DEAN

Art Unit: 2684

Docket No.: NOKIA.41US

Customer No.: 43829

**MAIL STOP RCE
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450**

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Applicants respectfully submit this Response to the outstanding Office Action dated April 7, 2005. Claims 3, 11 and 13-15 are pending in the application. The Office Action rejected claim 15 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by prior art and rejected claims 3, 11, 13 and 14 under 35 USC 103 as being rendered obvious by prior art.

Anticipation Rejection

The grounds for the anticipation rejection of claim 15 are set forth in part 4 on page 4 of the Office Action. The rejection again relies upon the predictive text input embodiment shown in Fig. 4 and discussed at col. 6, lines 17-41, and col. 7, lines 37-47, of U.S. Patent No. 5,797,098 to Schroeder et al (this embodiment is hereinafter referred to merely as "Schroeder"). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection at least because it fails to establish a *prima facie* case that Schroeder includes each and every one of the combination of features recited in claim 15.